VZCZCXYZ0002 OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHNY #0500 2491605 ZNY CCCCC ZZH O 051605Z SEP 08 FM AMEMBASSY OSLO TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 7036 INFO RUEHXP/ALL NATO POST COLLECTIVE IMMEDIATE RUEHHE/AMEMBASSY HELSINKI IMMEDIATE 8017 RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW IMMEDIATE 4020 RUEHSM/AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM IMMEDIATE 3317 RHMFISS/SECNAV WASHINGTON DC IMMEDIATE RUEHNO/USMISSION USNATO IMMEDIATE 1528 RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHINGTON DC IMMEDIATE RHEFDIA/DIA WASHINGTON DC IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL OSLO 000500

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 09/05/2018

TAGS: NATO PBTS PREL NO SUBJECT: NORWAY CLAIM NATO ARTICLE FIVE PROTECTION FOR

SVALBARD

REF: OSLO 489

Classified By: Deputy Chief of Mission Kevin M. Johnson for reasons 1.4 b and d

- 11. (U) In remarks September 4 at a conference on the High North, Norway's Foreign Minister Jonas Gahr Stoere stated categorically that Svalbard was part of Norway and that NATO's Article Five protections applied to Svalbard. not a new statement of Norway's interpretation of the Svalbard treaty but it is noteable, after the events in Georgia, how forcefully FM Stoere emphasized Norwegian sovereignty and the applicability of Article Five. The Russian Ambassador was in the audience. GON officials are increasingly concerned with raising allied awareness of the High North and will continue to stress vulnerabilities in the North in NATO meetings (see reftel).
- 12. (U) The Svalbard Treaty does grant Norway "full and absolute sovereignty" over Svalbard. However it also grants signatories "equal liberty of access and entry for any reason or object whatever to the waters, fjords and ports of the territories." The treaty specifies that Norway is not allowed to establish any naval base or to construct fortifications and states that the territories "may never be used for warlike purposes." These clauses significantly impact the declaration of full sovereignty and have resulted in varying national interpretations of the treaty, particularly on fishing rights.
- 13. (C) Comment: Regardless of legal interpretations, it is a positive that the GON is stressing NATO's significance. GON officials stress the continued relevance of Article Five and the need for NATO to refocus on reassuring its members on this point. With Russia's recent statement of intent (and actions) to defend its citizens and interests abroad by whatever means, ambiguities in interpretation of the Svalbard treaty take on new significance. The Department may wish to consider: first, our legal view of Norway's position on NATO and Svalbard; and second, ways to exploit Norway's stake politically (whatever our legal analysis) to make the point that, as GON indicates, NATO will stand firm in the North. End Comment WHITNEY